UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JUL 17 PM 3: 30

	<u> </u>	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT A SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TO FR	: U.S.DI OM: <u>R.Mulli</u>	STRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE n, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: do 7/11/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv0482 BEN (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner		
CASE TITLE: Beckner v. Martel, et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Continuance		
	Upon the	e submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
$\bar{\Box}$	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
\bar{X}	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
	-	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
		Date forwarded: 7/11/2008
<u>ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u> IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
Ø		ent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected do	cument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
		advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant
	to Local Ru	CHAMBERS OF: Adler
	Dated:	CHAMBERS OF: Adler 14 08 By: 444
	cc: All Part	ies